

Quantitative analysis of defects at the dentin-post space in endodontically treated teeth.

Analisi quantitativa dei difetti nello spazio fra dentina e perno in fibra in denti trattati endodonticamente.

Mariasevera Di Comite, Vito Crincoli, Laura Fatone, Andrea Ballini, Giorgio Mori, Biagio Rapone, Antonio Boccaccio, Carmine Pappalettere, Felice Roberto Grassi, Angela Favia

Università degli Studi di Bari "Aldo Moro"





"Materials" - 2015



Article

Quantitative Analysis of Defects at the Dentin-Post Space in Endodontically Treated Teeth

Mariasevera Di Comite ^{1,*}, Vito Crincoli ², Laura Fatone ², Andrea Ballini ¹, Giorgio Mori ³, Biagio Rapone ², Antonio Boccaccio ⁴, Carmine Pappalettere ⁴, Felice Roberto Grassi ² and Angela Favia ¹

- ¹ Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Neurosciences and Sensory Organs, University of Bari, Piazza G. Cesare, 11, Bari 70124, Italy;
 E-Mails: andrea.ballini@uniba.it (A.B.); angela.favia@uniba.it (A.F.)
- ² Interdisciplinary Department of Medicine, University of Bari, Piazza G. Cesare, 11, Bari 70124, Italy; E-Mails: vito.crincoli@uniba.it (V.C.); laura.fatone@gmail.com (L.F.); biagiorapone@virgilio.it (B.R.); feliceroberto.grassi@uniba.it (F.R.G.)
- ³ Department of Biomedical and Experimental Sciences, University of Foggia, Viale Luigi Pinto, 1, Foggia 71122, Italy; E-Mail: giorgio.mori@unifg.it
- ⁴ Department of Mechanics, Mathematics and Management, Polytechnic of Bari. Viale Japigia, 182, Bari 70126, Italy; E-Mails: a.boccaccio@poliba.it (A.B.); carmine.pappalettere@poliba.it (C.P.)
- * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: mariasevera.dicomite@uniba.it; Tel.: +39-080-5478312; Fax: +39-080-5478311.

Academic Editor: Ihtesham ur Rehman

Received: 6 April 2015 / Accepted: 2 June 2015 / Published: 4 June 2015

Abstract: The objective of this study was to assess frequency and extension of the defects affecting the dentin-post interface after using different combinations of irrigants and sealers. The experimental work was conducted on single-rooted teeth extracted for orthodontic reasons. The specimens were divided into different groups, according to irrigant and endodontic cement utilized, and endodontically instrumented. After fiberglass posts cementation, cross sections were obtained at apical, middle and coronal level of the root and submitted to quantitative analyses. Different types of defects were found: bubbles, bonding defects, polymerization defect, and cement residues. The percent extension of each defect and its frequency were related to the specific irrigant/sealer combination and to the root level. Detachments of the material from dentin were found only at apical and middle levels. Chlorhexidine digluconate seems to have more beneficial effects if

compared to sodium hypochlorite: samples prepared with chlorhexidine digluconate showed a higher performance, with roots including null to few defects. In detail, samples treated with chlorhexidine digluconate and Pulp Canal Sealer showed the lowest frequency and the smallest dimension of defects.

Keywords: endodontic cements; irrigants; endoposts; adhesive defects; morphometric analysis

1. Introduction

Endodontically treated teeth run a higher risk of mechanical failure in comparison to vital ones. The major cause of fracture can be attributed to the loss of dental substance because of caries or cavity preparation [1-3]. Furthermore, the loss of the physiologic vascularization and hence the water loss or the reduction of collagen cross-linking could make the endodontically treated teeth more brittle [4,5].

Endodontic posts are necessary for the retention of the coronal restoration, though they do not increase the mechanical resistance of the dental roots. To reduce the risk of non-recoverable root fracture, posts with mechanical properties more similar to the dentinal tissue have been introduced [3,6], thus replacing the traditional metallic posts [7,8]. Since fiber posts have a Young's modulus close to dentinal tissue, the stress state at the dentin-post interface tends to distribute homogeneously. For this reason, fiber posts reduce the risk of root fractures rather than metallic ones [9–13]. Other advantages of fiber posts are the adhesive bonding technique, which requires minimal intervention on the dentinal surface [14], a restoration procedure that can be conducted in a shorter time with relatively low costs [15,16], and the aesthetic outcome [13].

The debonding of the fiber post from its post-space has been shown to take place principally between adhesive luting material and dentin [17]. This may be due to different factors, such as insufficient polymerization of adhesive materials along the canal, high polymerization shrinkage [18], unfavorable C factor [19], negative influences of intracanalar irrigating solutions and endodontic sealers [20], type of dentinal tissue [21], and presence of residual pulpal tissue [22].

Chemical irrigants are essential for successful debridement of root canals during shaping and cleaning procedures [22–27]. Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) dissolves organic tissues and neutralizes toxic products, whereas chlorhexidine digluconate (CHX), in addition, has bactericide properties [28]. Irrigants may also affect the characteristics of the dentinal tissue. For instance, NaOCl makes oxidized dentin, which inhibits the process of adhesion of resinous materials to dentin or their polymerization [2,29–33]. CHX inhibits matrix metalloproteinases, thus increasing the adhesion of luting agents with dentin [34,35].

Regarding endodontic sealers, the persistency of residues on the root canal walls could cause defects within the post-core materials, thus affecting the bond strength [36–41].

In spite of a huge number of studies on the effects of chemical irrigants and endodontic sealers on the interfacial strength, poor information is available regarding to the quantitative defects caused by different irrigant/sealer combinations. In a previous work [42], the effects of different irrigating solutions and endodontic sealers on mechanical strength of the dental restoration were investigated.

The novelty of the present study is based on the relationship between the most representative defects at the dentin-post space and the irrigant/sealer combination.

The aim of this research was to analyze the defect distribution, their frequency and their percent extension on the different levels of the dental root. The null hypothesis was that the combination irrigant/sealer does not affect the values of the interfacial defects.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Preparation of Samples

Forty-eight human single-rooted teeth were extracted for orthodontic reasons and collected for this study. Eight of them were then excluded because they had fractured during surgical procedures. None of the remaining forty teeth had received previous restorative or endodontic treatment. Following the same protocol adopted in a previous investigation [42], teeth were kept in 1% chloramine T (pH 7.8) at 4 °C until use [43]. With a high-speed carbide bur and water spray, teeth were sectioned below the cement-enamel junction, perpendicularly to the long axis, obtaining approximately 15 mm long root segments.

The roots were randomly assigned to four groups (10/group) according to the irrigating solution (NaOCl or CHX) as well as to the type of endodontic cement, Pulp Canal Sealer[™] (EWT KERR[®], Orange, CA, USA) or Apexit Plus (IVOCLAR VIVADENT[®], Naturno, Italy).

Canal patency and working length were established by inserting #10/02, #15/02 and #20/02 tapered K file (DENTSPLY Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) to the root canal terminus. The canals were prepared at working length using Pro Taper instrument S1, S2 and F1 (DENTSPLY Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) according to Ruddle's protocol [44]. After using 20/07 F1 Pro Taper instrument, the size of the foramen was gauged with a# 20/02 tapered hand file: the #20 hand file was snug and the canal was considered fully shaped [44]. In order to remove the smear layer left by every file, they were abundantly irrigated with 0.2% CHX (groups A and C) or 5.25% NaOCl (groups B and D).

After chemical-mechanical preparation, canals were dried using paper points.

Pulp Canal Sealer (PCS) cement based on zinc oxide and eugenol, was used for group A and B, while Apexit Plus (AP) cement based on calcium hydroxide, was used for group C and D (Table 1).

Irrigants	Pulp Canal Sealer TM EWT KERR [®]	Apexit Plus IVOCLAR VIVADENT®
CHX	group A	group C
NaOCl	group B	group D

Table 1. Endodontic cement/irrigant combinations utilized in the present study.

System B pluggers, size fine/medium were utilized to condense the gutta-percha master cone to within 5 mm from the working length. Delayed cementation of fiber post (at least 24 h after post endodontic treatment) resulted in higher retentive strengths in comparison to immediate cementation [45]. After storage at 100% humidity for 1 week at 37 °C, a post-space was created and the excesses of gutta-percha and sealers were removed by means of Gates-Glidden burs n° 3 (DENTSPLY Maillefer-Ballaigues, Switzerland), maintaining at least 4–5 mm of apical seal. Then, root canals were once again abundantly irrigated with 0.2% CHX (groups A and C) or 5.25% NaOCl (groups B and D).

The same irrigants used in the chemical-mechanical preparation of the specimen were then used in the post-space irrigation. Paper points were again utilized to dry their surface.

Fiberglass posts were inserted according to the protocol prescribed by the posts' Manufacturer (MIROMED, Milano, Italy). Using a Surgi Shaper cutter disk, the post was adapted apically. Before cementation, each fiber post was cleaned with 70% ethanol and dried.

According the manufacturer's instruction, the root canal walls were treated with phosphoric acid 37% for sixty seconds. The acid was then removed by distilled water. Paper points were again used, leaving the still wet dentinal surface. By using an extra-fine microbrush, a first layer of dual adhesive Surgi Primebond Base + Surgi Primebond Activator was applied on the canal walls. Then, the layer was radiated by an LED lamp (Mini LED SuperCharged, Financiere Acteon SAS, Merignac Cedex, France) for twenty seconds. According to the Manufacturer's instructions, a second layer of dual adhesive was applied but not radiated to avoid the formation of an additional thickness that would shift the post in the coronal direction. The dual adhesive was also applied on the post surface. The dual cement filled the root canal completely by means of auto-mix endodontic tips. The post was finally inserted and the excessive amounts of cement that came out of the root canal were radiated for forty seconds to stabilize the emergent part of the post. The light output of the LED-curing unit was monitored by a radiometer. All the operations were carried out by a single experienced practitioner.

2.2. Quantitative Analysis

The endodontically treated roots were fixed in 70% ethanol for two days at 4 °C, dehydrated and conventionally processed for metyl-methacrylate embedding. Serial sections (80 μ m thick) were obtained according to a transverse plane by means of a circular diamond-bladed saw microtome (SP1600 Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) and thinned up to 70 μ m under running water on fine-grain sandpaper. Their final thickness was checked by means of a micrometric caliper and then air dried in incubator at 37 °C for 24 h.

In order to search possible anomalies present along the inner walls of endodontic space, one section at apical, middle and coronal level of the post was chosen (Figure 1). The specimens were observed under a light microscope (Nikon Eclipse E 400, Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a digital camera (Nikon DS-5M) at 100× enlargement. Five different types of defects were found:

- (a) inclusion of gas bubbles within the dual cement layer (bubbles);
- (b) bonding defects at the dentin-dual cement interface (detachments);
- (c) nebulous-looking areas within the dual cement layer due to a polymerization defect (pol.def.);
- (d) endodontic cement residues at dentin-dual cement interface (int.res.);
- (e) embedded residues of endodontic cement within the dual cement layer (emb.res.).

About point c, three sections selected into each group were submitted to microhardness test, according to Vickers (HV), to state if the nebulous-looking areas are due to curing abnormalities. These anomalous polymerized regions show lower HV values than normal ones [46]. The sections were processed in accordance with a previously standardized procedure [47]. HV was tested with a Leitz Durimet by applying a square-base pyramidal diamond indenter (Vickers pyramid with angles of 136°) under a load of 25 g. The HV was computed from the formula HV = $1854.4 \times L/D2$, where L is

the load in [g] and D the mean length in μ m of the imprint's diagonals measured at an enlargement of 400×. HV values resulted always lower in the nebulous than in the normal-looking adjacent regions.



Figure 1. Schematic drawing of the endodontically treated root showing the three levels analyzed.

Furthermore, the following evaluations have been carried out:

- (a) the frequency* of each type of defect computed both taking all the sections together, both taking into account the specific levels;
- (b) the frequency* of endodontically treated roots with zero to five defects for each group; and
- (c) the frequency* of the different defects found in each group. (*Frequency is the percent ratio between the number of times a given defect is observed with respect to the total number of investigated samples [48]).

The extension of the single defects was evaluated on contact microradiographs to better identify their outline. The sections were then microradiographed at 8 kV and 14 mA by using a x-ray generator (XRG-3000, Ital Structures Research, Riva Del Garda, Italy). Contact microradiographs (High Resolution Film, Kodak) were developed (HC-110, Kodak), fixed (Hypam Rapid Fixer, Ilford), washed in bidistilled water and air dried at room temperature. Morphometric analyses were carried out on microradiographs by means of a light microscope and an image processing software (Nikon Nis-Elements BR). The defects' dimensions were expressed in terms of percent area with respect to the whole dual cement area (Figure 2).

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Categorical data were compared using Chi-squared (χ^2) with Yates' correction or Fisher's exact tests (Epi Info 3.5.3). Continuous data were expressed as mean \pm standard error (Std.Err.) and compared using Anova and Bonferroni's Multiple Comparison post Test (PRISM[®] version 5.0,

GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). In all comparisons, a p value <0.05 with 95% confidence intervals was considered statistically significant.



Figure 2. Seventy-micrometer thick unstained sections (enlargement of $40\times$): White arrows indicate the five defects detected: (a) defectless slice; (b) bubbles within the dual cement layer; (c) detachments at the dual cement-dentin interface; (d) polymerization defects within the dual cement layer (pol.def.); (e) endodontic cement residues at the dual cement-dentin interface (int.res.); and (f) embedded residues of endodontic cement into the dual cement layer (emb.res).

3. Results

In all the sections, the fiber-post appeared wholly surrounded by an endodontic cement layer. Sometimes apical sealant (AP or PCS) residues, both at the dentin-dual cement interface and within the dual cement, were found. Polymerization defects, bonding defects and gas bubbles embedded inside the dual cement were also detected.

3.1. Frequency of Defects

Taking all together, the sections (*i.e.*, neglecting either the specific level and the irrigant/sealer combinations) sealant residues coating the dual cement-dentin interface were the most present defect (Figure 3a). Their frequency appeared significantly higher in respect to all the other defects (int.res. *vs.* bubbles and detachments p = 0.01, *vs.* pol.def. p = 0.02, *vs.* emb.res. $p = 0.039 \chi^2$), followed by sealant residues embedded within the dual cement (emb.res. *vs.* bubbles and pol.def. $p = 0.02 \chi^2$, *vs.* detachments $p = 0.01 \chi^2$), whereas the remaining ones were poorly represented.

Regardless of irrigant/sealer combination, statistically significant differences of some defects' frequencies were found among the three levels investigated (Figure 3b).



Figure 3. Frequency of defects taking all the sections together (regardless of either single specific level and irrigant/sealer combination) (**a**) and frequency of different defects in the three levels of the root (neglecting only the irrigant/sealer combination) (**b**). (pol.def = polymerization defects; int.res = endodontic cement residues at the dual cement-dentin interface; emb.res = embedded residues of endodontic cement into the dual cement layer).

In detail, gas bubbles were more frequently distributed in the apical than in the coronal sections $(p < 0.05 \chi^2)$. No detachment was detected at the coronal level (coronal *vs.* middle p < 0.03, *vs.* apical $p < 0.05 \chi^2$). The frequency of residues at dentin-dual cement interface was lower at the middle level when compared with the other ones (middle *vs.* coronal p < 0.02, *vs.* apical $p < 0.01 \chi^2$). The endodontic sealant residues within the dual cement layer were significantly less represented at the middle level than at the apical one ($p < 0.02 \chi^2$). Regarding the polymerization defects, no statistically significant differences were found among the three levels.

The analysis of the coexistence of the five defects in every single group (Figure 4) showed many differences in relation to irrigant/sealer combination. In group A, 60% of the roots had one or no defect, whereas in the remaining 40%, two or almost three types of anomalies were found. In group C, except for 10% abnormality-free roots, two defects were always present.

On the contrary, in groups B and D, all the roots exhibited the simultaneous presence of 2 to 5 defects and none were defectless.



Figure 4. Frequency of endodontically treated roots with zero to five coexisting defects in each group.

Categorical data showed significant differences in the frequency of the various defects analyzed and the samples of groups B and D appeared to be the most damaged (Figure 5). In particular, gas bubbles were detected only in groups B and D (B *vs.* A and C, D *vs.* A and C p < 0.01 χ^2). The detachment between dual cement and dentin was mainly found in group D (D *vs.* A p = 0.01, *vs.* C p < 0.05 Fisher), whereas the polymerization defects were mostly present in group B (B *vs.* A, *vs.* C p < 0.01 Fisher, *vs.* D p = 0.03 χ^2). The latter defect was also detected in group D (D *vs.* A p < 0.03, *vs.* C p < 0.01 Fisher, *vs.* B p = 0.03 χ^2).

Deposits of endodontic sealer along the dual cement-dentin interface were found in all the groups with a higher incidence in group B (B vs. A p = $0.038 \chi^2$).

Finally, embedded residues within the dual cement seemed to be mainly present in the group C (C vs. A, vs. B and vs. D p < 0.01 χ^2), whereas there were no statistically significant differences among the remaining groups.



Figure 5. Frequency of the different defects found in each group. (pol.def = polymerization defects; int.res = endodontic cement residues at the dual cement-dentin interface; emb.res = embedded residues of endodontic cement into the dual cement layer).

3.2. Morphometric Analysis

Morphometric analyses revealed that the mean percent area (MPA) occupied by each defect does not exceed 9% and changes in relation to the type of defect and group (Figure 6). In particular, the highest values of MPA were found in group D for bubbles (p < 0.0001 Anova. D vs. A and C vs. D p < 0.01; vs. B p < 0.05 Bonferroni), detachments (p = 0.01 Anova. D vs. A and B p < 0.05 Bonferroni) and residues at the dentin-dual cement interface (p = 0.007 Anova. D vs. A p < 0.02 Bonferroni).

Wide areas of the dual cement layer were affected by polymerization defects mainly in groups B and D, whereas they seemed to be negligible in groups A and C (p = 0.0005 Anova. B vs. A and vs. C p < 0.02 Bonferroni).

Finally, no statistically significant differences about the embedded residues into the dual cement were found among the groups.



Figure 6. Mean (±Std. Err) percent area of each type of defect computed for the four groups. (pol.def = polymerization defects; int.res=endodontic cement residues at the dual cement-dentin interface; emb.res=embedded residues of endodontic cement into the dual cement layer).

4. Discussion and Conclusions

The findings obtained in this study are consistent with those reported in the literature. Apical sealants (Apexit Plus or Pulp Canal Sealer) residues were detected at the dentin-dual cement interface as well as within the dual cement. These defects are the most frequent in all the sections analyzed, probably due to a failure in canal cleansing. In fact, the action of the drill used to remove the root filling material in order to create a post-space generates a new additional and thicker smear layer, which is

rich in debris and sealer/gutta-percha remnants, plasticized and made gluey by the frictional heat. This smear layer may reduce the penetration and the chemical action of the bonding agents [49,50].

Detachments of dual cement from dentin were totally absent in the coronal third but they were observed in the apical and middle ones. This could be explained analyzing both: (i) the geometric factor and the polymerization shrinkage; and (ii) the type of the hybrid layer in each third of the root.

Regarding point (i), polymerization shrinkage is an unavoidable adverse effect occurring in resin-based materials. The polymerization process decreases the intermolecular spaces between the monomers and produces sufficient shrinkage stresses to debond the composite from dentin, thereby decreasing retention through gap formation [51]. Shrinkage stress can be related to the cavity configuration factor (C-factor) that is defined as the ratio of bonded to unbonded surfaces of the restoration: the lower the C-factor, the higher the capacity to dissipate polymerization shrinkage stresses and then the lower the probability of debonding [52–54]. A high C-factor characterizes the apical and middle levels, unlike a lower C-factor at the coronal level, where the sidewalls only are bonded, thus excluding the upper surface, made free after dental crown removal.

Glass ionomer (GIC) and resin modified glass ionomer cements (RMGIC) could be an alternative for fiber post cementation to avoid adverse effects of polymerization shrinkage of resin cements. A Pereira study revealed how GIC showed significantly higher values, in term of bond strength, compared to dual-polymerizing resin cements [55]. GIC and RMGIC show a hygroscopic expansion, which offset the initial shrinkage and increase the stability at the dentin-cement interface because of an increase frictional strength. Furthermore, they offer long lasting protection against dental caries by means of fluoride release [3,56–58].

With regards to aspect (ii), most of the studies state that bond strength to intra-canalar dentin decreases from the coronal to the apical third and that the thickness of the hybrid layer is considerably reduced in the apical third, causing a weaker bond strength because of the reduced impregnation of the adhesive system [59]. The better performance in the coronal region is also ascribable to the simple approachability of this part of the canal space [59], making etching and adhesive procedures easier [60].

Endodontic sealers play an important role in the post retention. Only few studies about the influence of calcium hydroxide sealers on resin polymerization and post retention were conducted. A recent study by Demiryürek showed that specimens with calcium hydroxide-based sealer revealed the highest bond strength if compared with resin-based and zinc oxide–eugenol-based sealer [61]. In the present study, no resin-based sealers were used as endodontic sealer because they penetrate deeply into dentinal tubules thus decreasing the bond strength of resin cement [61,62]. Eugenol-based sealers remain the primary choice for endodontics because of their long history of clinical success [63]. However, eugenol can infiltrate dentin [36,39,40], thus reducing the bonding efficiency and causing polymerization defects [41]. This aspect is consistent with the results reported in this study. In fact, samples treated with NaOCl show a higher frequency of polymerization defects when associated to PCS than to AP. Furthermore, since these defects are overall more frequent in groups B and D (Figure 5), it is possible to hypothesize that they are more sensitive to the irrigant than to the cement.

Furthermore, samples treated with NaOCl show higher frequencies of bubbles and detachments (Figures 5 and 6), thus confirming a dominant role of irrigants over cements.

Sodium hypochlorite has been considered an excellent non-specific proteolytic agent that dissolves organic compounds [64,65], enhancing the penetration of composite monomers into the demineralized

dentin structure [66]. Despite its positive effects, NaOCl can cause problems if used in association with resin-based materials due to its strong oxidizing properties, leaving a dentin surface coated by an oxygen-rich layer. Oxygen interferes with resin infiltration into the tubules and intertubular dentin [29–32,66]. This might partially explain why samples of groups B and D exhibit the largest frequency and extension of bubbles and detachments when compared with groups A and C (Figures 5 and 6). The use of 37% phosphoric acid as etching agent has been reported to remove remnants of the eugenol incompletely and of the contaminated smear layer [67]: This leads to the demineralization of dentin to a depth of 9–10 μ m [68]. This depth of demineralization and the water rinsing after etching reduces the amount of free eugenol on the dentin surface [38]. The higher amount of bubbles in the apical than in the coronal sections might be due to the difficulty of the etching gel to reach the post-space end during the preparation procedure of the specimens. The lack of the etching gel could bring about the persistence of an oxygen-rich layer in the post space apical dentin. However, the use of 37% of phosphoric acid for 60 s increased the bond strength in the apical third of the root dentin [69].

Finally, CHX seems to induce a lower number of abnormalities when compared with NaOCl. In fact, only within groups A and C, defectless sections were found. Conversely, the number of coexisting defects in a given sample appears to be higher when using NaOCl (Figure 4).

In conclusion, various irrigation/sealer combinations appear to produce differences in the frequency, type and extension of defects. CHX seems to confirm its higher capability of increasing the luting agents adhesion to dentin in respect to NaOCl. In addition, when CHX is associated with PCS, it seems to produce a significant reduction in defects. However, the data obtained in this study do not allow determining how much these differences may affect the fiberglass endopost stability. The correlation between frequencies and extension of the five detected defects and the endopost's bond strength will be the purpose of a forthcoming research.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the prof. Gianna Maria Nardi ("La Sapienza", Roma, Italy), for her support in the bibliographic search.

Author Contributions

Mariasevera Di Comite performed quantitative and statistical analyses and wrote Results; Vito Crincoli wrote Discussion and Conclusions; Laura Fatone performed the literature search; Giorgio Mori wrote Introduction; Biagio Rapone carried out the preparation of samples and wrote the relative protocol; Andrea Ballini contributed to writing the preparation of samples' paragraph; Carmine Pappalettere and Antonio Boccaccio provided text and images formatting and wrote References and Notes; Felice Roberto Grassi provided teeth and endodontic materials and performed the Study design; and Favia Angela conceived statistical analyses and supervised the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Llena-Puy, M.C.; Forner-Navarro, L.; Barbero-Navarro, I. Vertical root fracture in endodontically treated teeth: A review of 25 cases. *Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathol. Oral Radiol. Endod.* 2001, 92, 553–555.
- 2. Ree, M.; Schwartz, R.S. The endo-restorative interface: Current concepts. *Dent. Clin. N. Am.* **2010**, *54*, 345–374.
- 3. Zogheib, L.V.; Pereira, J.R.; Valle, A.L.; Oliveira, J.A.; Pegoraro, L.F. Fracture resistance of weakened roots restored with composite resin and glass fiber post. *Braz. Dent. J.* **2008**, *19*, 329–333.
- 4. Helfer, A.R.; Melnick, S.; Schilder, H. Determination of moisture content of vital and pulpless teeth. *Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathol.* **1972**, *34*, 661–670.
- 5. Rivera, E.M.; Yamauchi, M. Site comparisons of dentine collagen crosslinks from extracted human teeth. *Arch. Oral Biol.* **1993**, *38*, 541–546.
- 6. Akkayan, B.; Gulmez, T. Resistance to fracture of endodontically treated teeth restored with different post systems. *J. Prosthet. Dent.* **2002**, *87*, 431–437.
- 7. Zarow, M.; Devoto, W.; Saracinelli, M. Reconstruction of endodontically treated posterior teeth with or without post? Guidelines for the dental practitioner. *Eur. J. Esthet. Dent.* **2009**, *4*, 312–327.
- 8. Rosa, R.A.; Hwas, A.; Melo, D.; Valandro, L.F.; Kaizer, O.B. Fracture strength of endodontically treated teeth restored with different strategies after mechanical cycling. *Gen. Dent.* **2012**, *60*, e62–e68.
- 9. Makade, C.S.; Meshram, G.K.; Warhadpande, M.; Patil, P.G. A comparative evaluation of fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth restored with different post core systems—An in-vitro study. *J. Adv. Prosthodont.* **2011**, *3*, 90–95.
- 10. Plotino, G.; Grande, N.M.; Bedini, R.; Pameijer, C.H.; Somma, F. Flexural properties of endodontic posts and human root dentin. *Dent. Mater.* **2007**, *23*, 1129–1135.
- Castro, C.G.; Santana, F.R.; Roscoe, M.G.; Simamoto, P.C., Jr.; Santos-Filho, P.C.; Soares, C.J. Fracture resistance and mode of failure of various types of root filled teeth. *Int. Endod. J.* 2012, 45, 840–847.
- 12. Pereira, J.R.; Valle, A.L.; Ghizoni, J.S.; Só, M.V.; Ramos, M.B.; Lorenzoni, F.C. Evaluation of push-out bond strength of four luting agents and SEM observation of the dentine/fibreglass bond interface. *Int. Endod. J.* **2013**, *46*, 982–992.
- 13. Dimitrouli, M.; Günay, H.; Geurtsen, W.; Lührs, A.K. Push-out strength of fiber posts depending on the type of root canal filling and resin cement. *Clin. Oral Investig.* **2011**, *15*, 273–281.
- Bitter, K.; Noetzel, J.; Stamm, O.; Vaudt, J.; Meyer-Lueckel, H.; Neumann, K.; Kielbassa, A.M. Randomized clinical trial comparing the effects of post placement on failure rate of postendodontic restorations: preliminary results of a mean period of 32 months. *J. Endod.* 2009, *35*, 1477–1482
- 15. Assif, D.; Gorfil, C. Biomechanical considerations in restoring endodontically treated teeth. *J. Prosthet. Dent.* **1994**, *71*, 565–567.
- 16. Morgano, S.M. Restoration of pulpless teeth: Application of traditional principles in present and future contexts. *J. Prosthet. Dent.* **1996**, *75*, 375–380.
- 17. Baba, N.Z.; Goodacre, C.J.; Daher, T. Restoration of endodontically treated teeth: The seven keys to success. *Gen. Dent.* **2009**, *57*, 596–603.

- Boff, L.L.; Grossi, M.L.; Prates, L.H.; Burnett, L.H., Jr.; Shinkai, R.S. Effect of the activation mode of post adhesive cementation on push-out bond strength to root canal dentin. *Quintessence Int.* 2007, *38*, 387–394.
- 19. Hayashi, M.; Ebisu, S. Key factors in achieving firm adhesion in post-core restorations. *Jpn. Dent. Sci. Rev.* **2008**, *44*, 22–28.
- 20. Muniz, L.; Mathias, P. The influence of sodium hypochlorite and root canal sealers on post retention in different dentin regions. *Oper. Dent.* **2005**, *30*, 533–539.
- 21. Tsurumachi, T.; Huang, T.J.; Zhan, W.; Hayashi, M.; Ogiso, B. Scanning electron microscopic study of dentinal pulpal walls in relation to age and tooth area. *J. Oral Sci.* **2008**, *50*, 199–203.
- Serafino, C.; Gallina, G.; Cumbo, E.; Ferrari, M. Surface debris of canal walls after post space preparation in endodontically treated teeth: A scanning electron microscopic study. *Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathol. Oral Radiol. Endod.* 2004, 97, 381–387.
- Babb, B.R.; Loushine, R.J.; Bryan, T.E.; Ames, J.M.; Causey, M.S.; Kim, J.; Kim, Y.K.; Weller, R.N.; Pashley, D.H.; Tay, F.R. Bonding of selfadhesive (self-etching) root canal sealers to radicular dentine. *J. Endod.* 2009, *35*, 578–582.
- 24. Hashem, A.A.; Ghoneim, A.G.; Lutfy, R.A.; Fouda, M.Y. The effect of different irrigating solutions on bond strength of two root canal-filling systems. *J. Endod.* **2009**, *35*, 537–540.
- 25. Jainaen, A.; Palamara, J.E.; Messer, H.H. Effect of dentinal tubules and res-in-based endodontic sealers on fracture properties of root dentin. *Dent. Mater.* **2009**, *25*, 73–81.
- 26. Akisue, E.; Tomita, V.S.; Gavini, G.; Poli de Figueiredo, J.A. Effect of the combination of sodium hypochlorite and chlorhexidine on dentinal permeability and scanning electron microscopy precipitate observation. *J. Endod.* **2010**, *36*, 847–850.
- 27. Mohammadi, Z.; Soltani, M.K.; Shalavi, S. An update on the management of endodontic biofilms using root canal irrigants and medicaments. *Iran. Endod. J.* **2014**, *9*, 89–97.
- 28. Wang, C.S.; Arnold, R.R.; Trope, M.; Teixeira, F.B. Clinical efficiency of 2% chlorhexidine gel in reducing intracanal bacteria. *J. Endod.* **2007**, *33*, 1283–1289.
- 29. Nikaido, T.; Takada, T.; Sasafuchi, Y.; Burrow, M.F.; Tagami, J. Bond strengths to endodontically treated teeth. *Am. J. Dent.* **1999**, *12*, 177–180.
- 30. Rueggeberg, F.A.; Margeson, D.H. The effect of oxygen inhibition on an unfilled/filled composite system. *J. Dent. Res.* **1990**, *69*, 1652–1658.
- Morris, M.D.; Lee, K.W.; Agee, K.A.; Bouillaguet, S.; Pashley, D.H. Effects of sodium hypochlorite and RC-prep on bond strengths of resin cement to endodontic surfaces. *J. Endod.* 2001, 27, 753–757.
- 32. Ozturk, B.; Ozer, F. Effect of NaOCl on bond strengths of bonding agents to pulp chamber lateral walls. *J. Endod.* **2004**, *30*, 362–365.
- 33. Kandaswamy, D.; Venkateshbabu, N. Root canal irrigants. J. Conserv. Dent. 2010, 13, 256-264.
- Carrilho, M.R.; Tay, F.R.; Donnelly, A.M.; Agee, K.A.; Tjäderhane, L.; Mazzoni, A.; Breschi, L.; Foulger, S.; Pashley, D.H. Host-derived loss of dentin matrix stiffness associated with solubilization of collagen. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. B Appl. Biomater.* 2009, *90*, 373–380.
- 35. Gomes, B.P.; Vianna, M.E.; Zaia, A.A.; Almeida, J.F.; Souza-Filho, F.J.; Ferraz, C.C. Chlorhexidine in endodontics. *Braz. Dent. J.* **2013**, *24*, 89–102.

- 36. Kielbassa, A.M.; Attin, T.; Hellwig, E. Diffusion behavior of eugenol from zinc oxide-eugenol mixtures through human and bovine dentin in vitro. *Oper. Dent.* **1997**, *22*, 15–20.
- Abou Hashieh, I.; Camps, J.; Dejou, J.; Franquin, J.C. Eugenol diffusion through dentin related to dentin hydraulic conductance. *Dent. Mater.* 1998, 14, 229–236.
- Carvalho, C.N.; de Oliveira Bauer, J.R.; Loguercio, A.D.; Reis, A. Effect of ZOE temporary restoration on resin-dentin bond strength using different adhesive strategies. *J. Esthet. Restor. Dent.* 2007, 19, 144–152.
- 39. Fujisawa, S.; Kadoma, Y. Action of eugenol as a retarder against polymerization of methyl methacrylate by benzoyl peroxide. *Biomaterials* **1997**, *18*, 701–703.
- Bayindir, F.; Akyil, M.S.; Bayindir, Y.Z. Effect of eugenol and noneugenol containing temporary cement on permanent cement retention and microhardness of cured composite resin. *Dent. Mater.* 2003, *22*, 592–599.
- Koch, T.; Peutzfeldt, A.; Malinovskii, V.; Flury, S.; Häner, R.; Lussi, A. Temporary zinc oxide-eugenol cement: Eugenol quantity in dentin and bond strength of resin composite. *Eur. J. Oral Sci.* 2013, *121*, 363–369.
- Grassi, F.R.; Pappalettere, C.; Di Comite, M.; Corsalini, M.; Mori, G.; Ballini, A.; Crincoli, V.; Pettini, F.; Rapone, B.; Boccaccio, A. Effect of Different Irrigating Solutions and Endodontic Sealers on Bond Strength of the Dentin—Post Interface with and without Defects. *Int. J. Med. Sci.* 2012, 9, 642–654.
- 43. Jainaen, A.; Palamara, J.E.; Messer, H.H. Push-out bond strengths of the dentine-sealer interface with a without a main cone. *Int. Endod. J.* **2007**, *40*, 882–890.
- 44. Ruddle, C.J. Shaping for success...everything old is new again. Dent. Today. 2006, 25, 122-127.
- 45. Frydman, G.; Levatovsky, S.; Pilo, R. Fiber reinforced composite posts: Literature review. *Refuat Hapeh Vehashinayim* **2013**, *30*, 6–14.
- 46. Yan, Y.L.; Kim, Y.K.; Kim, K.H.; Kwon, T.Y. Changes in degree of conversion and microhardness of dental resin cements. *Oper. Dent.* **2010**, *35*, 203–210.
- 47. Lozupone, E.; Favia, A. Effects of a low calcium maternal and weaning diet on the thickness and microhardness of rat incisor enamel and dentine. *Archs. oral Bol.* **1989**, *34*, 491–498.
- 48. Carlson, K.; Winquist, J. Chapter 1: Introduction to Statistics and Frequency Distributions. In *An Introduction to Statistics*; SAGE Publications, Inc: Thousand Oaks, CA, USA, 2014; pp. 1–32.
- Boone, K.J.; Murchison, D.F.; Schindler, W.G.; Walker, W.A., 3rd. Post retention: The effect of sequence of post-space preparation, cementation time, and different sealers. *J. Endod.* 2001, 27, 768–771.
- 50. Schwartz, R.S.; Fransman, R. Adhesive dentistry and endodontics: Materials, clinical strategies and procedures for restoration of access cavities: A review. *J. Endod.* **2005**, *31*, 151–165.
- 51. Tay, F.R.; Loushine, R.J.; Lambrechts, P.; Weller, R.N.; Pashley, D.H. Geometric factors affecting dentin bonding in root canals: A theoretical modelling approach. *J. Endod.* **2006**, *31*, 584–588.
- 52. Feilzer, A.J.; Dauvillier, B.S. Effect of TEGDMA/BisGMA ratio on stress development and viscolelastic properties of experimental twopaste composites. *J. Dent. Res.* 2003, 824–828.
- 53. Bouillaguet, S.; Troesch, S.; Wataha, J.C.; Krejci, I.; Meyer, J.M.; Pashley, D.H. Microtensile bond strength between adhesive cements and root canal dentin. *Dent. Mater.* **2003**, *19*, 199–205.

- 54. Feilzer, A.J.; De Gee, A.J.; Davidson, C.L. Setting stress in composite resin in relation to configuration of the restoration. *J. Dent. Res.* **1987**, *66*, 1636–1639.
- Pereira, J.R.; Lins do Valle, A.; Ghizoni, J.S.; Lorenzoni, F.C.; Ramos, M.B.; Dos Reis Só, M.V. Push-out bond strengths of different dental cements used to cement glass fiber posts. *J. Prosthet. Dent.* 2013, *110*, 134–140.
- Pereira, J.R.; da Rosa, R.A.; Só, M.V.; Afonso, D.; Kuga, M.C.; Honório, H.M.; do Valle, A.L.; Vidotti, H.A. Push-out bond strength of fiber posts to root dentin using glass ionomer and resin modified glass ionomer cements. J. Appl. Oral Sci. 2014, 22, 390–396.
- 57. Pereira, J.R.; da Rosa, R.A.; do Valle, A.L.; Ghizoni, J.S.; Só, M.V.; Shiratori, F.K. The influence of different cements on the pull-out bond strength of fiber posts. *J. Prosthet. Dent.* **2014**, *112*, 59–63.
- 58. Pereira, J.R.; Vidotti, H.A.; Valle, A.L.; Pamato, S.; Ghizoni, J.S.; Honório, H.M.; Lorenzoni, F.C. SEM analysis and push-out bond strength of fiberglass posts luted with different cements of glass-ionomer in humid environment: Pilot test. *J. Res. Dent.* **2013**, *1*, 83–90.
- 59. Ferrari, M.; Mannocci, F.; Vichi, A.; Cagidiaco, M.C.; Mjör, I.A. Bonding to root canal: Structural characteristic of the substrate. *Am. J. Dent.* **2000**, *13*, 255–260.
- 60. Kurtz, J.S.; Perdigão, J.; Geraldeli, S.; Hodges, J.S.; Bowles, W.R. Bond strengths of tooth-colored posts, effect of sealer, dentin adhesive, and root region. *Am. J. Dent.* **2003**, *16*, A31–A36.
- 61. Demiryürek, E.O.; Külünk, S.; Yüksel, G.; Saraç, D.; Bulucu, B. Effects of three canal sealers on bond strength of a fiber post. *J. Endod.* **2010**, *36*, 497–501.
- 62. Sevimay, S.; Kalaycı, A. Evaluation of apical sealing ability and adaptation to dentine of two resin-based sealers. *J. Oral Rehabil.* **2005**, *32*, 105–110.
- 63. Hagge, M.S.; Wong, R.D.; Lindemuth, J.S. Retention strengths of five luting cements on prefabricated dowels after root canal obturation with a zinc oxide/eugenol sealer: 1. Dowel space preparation/cementation at one week after obturation. *J. Prosthodont.* **2002**, *11*, 168–175.
- 64. Zehnder, M. Root canal irrigants. J. Endod. 2006, 32, 389-398.
- 65. Mohammadi, Z. Sodium hypochlorite in endodontics: An update review. Int. Dent. J. 2008, 58, 329–341.
- 66. Ari, H.; Yaşar, E.; Belli, S. Effects of NaOCl on bond strengths of resin cements to root canal dentin. *J. Endod.* **2003**, *29*, 248–251.
- 67. Terata, R.; Yoshinaka, S.; Nakashima, K.; Kubota, M. Effect of resinous temporary material on tensile bond strength of resin luting cement to tooth substrate. *Dent. Mater.* **1996**, *15*, 45–50.
- 68. Pashley, D.H.; Carvalho, R.M. Dentine permeability and dentine adhesion. J. Dent. 1997, 25, 355–372.
- 69. Saraiva, L.O.; Aguiar, T.R.; Costa, L.; Correr-Sobrinho, L.; Muniz, L.; Mathias, P. Effect of different adhesion strategies on fiber post cementation: Push-out test and scanning electron microscopy analysis. *Contemp. Clin. Dent.* **2013**, *4*, 443–447.

 \bigcirc 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).